Da	nte of Completion 2/7/	2019	
St	ate: Michigan		Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2019
	Overall Report Two-parent Report	_X_ (check one)	Apply the overall credit to the two-parent yes participation rate? X no
			Changes Made Since FY 2005 ection for EACH change)
1.	Name of eligibility chan	ge:	
			ing for cash assistance be referred immediately to the Work spended effective 6/1/2006. This policy was reinstated
2.	Implementation date of	eligibility change:	
	Individuals immediately and reinstated 5/2/2007.	referred to Work First pr	rogram as a condition of eligibility was suspended 6/1/2006
3.	Description of policy, in	cluding the change from	prior policy:
	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Training (JET) clients must be referred to the WF/JET mporarily eliminated this requirement as a condition of
4	Degagination of the mother	idalogu yaad ta aalaulata	the estimated invacat of this elicibility the ver-
4.	(attach supporting mater		the estimated impact of this eligibility change
	There is no longer a case	eload impact which can be	e attached to this policy.
5.	Estimated average month	aly impact of this eligibili	ity change on caseload in comparison year: _N/A

1. Name of eligibility change:

A 19 year-old attending high school full-time is no longer considered a dependent child in the eligible FIP group.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

Previous to the policy change, a 19 year-old that attended high school full-time and that was expecting to graduate before the age of 20, was a mandatory group member in the eligible FIP group. This child was in the FIP group as a dependent child until the child turned 20 years old or graduated from high school, whichever occurred first.

State law, MCL 400.57(1)(c) changed the definition of a dependent child, eliminating any 19 year-olds active FIP.

If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the 19 year-old, the FIP case closed. The cases reported as closed were due to the only child in the home that was 19 years old. Cases that had other children in the home or a pregnant grantee remained open, but the needs of the 19 year-old were removed.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

Please see the impact table titled, 19 Year Olds Removed as a Dependent Child. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.

• The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 22

1. Name of eligibility change:

A 16 or 17 year-old not attending high school full-time is disqualified from receiving FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, a 16 or 17 year-old that was not attending high school full-time was required to participate at Michigan's employment and training program.
 - Policy item BEM 245, School Attendance and Student Status, was changed and the 16 or 17 year-old will be disqualified from receiving FIP and will not be referred to Michigan's employment and training program.
 - Effective June 11, 2015, this policy was signed into State law, MCL 400.57b.
 - If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the 16 or 17 year old who was not attending high school full-time, then the FIP case closed. The cases closed were due to the only child in the home being the disqualified child. Cases that had other children or a pregnant grantee in the home remained open, but the needs of the 16 or 17 year old were removed.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, 16/17 Year Olds Disqualified for not Attending School. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - o The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 9

1. Name of eligibility change:

Financial need exists for FIP if there is at least a \$10 deficit after income is budgeted. If the deficit is less than \$10, the FIP case is closed.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, after budgeting any earned or unearned income, if a group's FIP grant was under \$10, the FIP case would remain open/approved, but the client would not receive the grant amount.
 - Policy item BEM 518, FIP Income Budgeting, was updated stating financial need exists if there is at least a \$10 deficit after income is budgeted.
 - A deficit of at least \$10 is required to receive a cash benefit. If the deficit is less than \$10, financial need does not exist and the FIP group is not eligible to receive benefits. The FIP case will close.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, *Less Than \$10 Deficit*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - O The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - O The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 162

1.	Name of eligibility change:
	Asset limit of \$500,000 for property assets.
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change:
	10/1/2011
3.	 Previous to the policy change, property assets were excluded from determining FIP eligibility. The annual appropriations act of 2011, 2011 P.A. 63 Sec. 686(3), states "The department shall prohibit individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$500,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines." If a FIP group has more than \$500,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close. This policy is no longer in effect. The annual appropriations act of 2013 changed this asset limit, voiding this policy.
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) There is no longer a caseload impact which can be attached to this policy. .
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: N/A

1.	Name of eligibility change:
	Asset limit of \$250,000 for property assets.
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change:
	10/1/2013
3.	 Previous to the policy change, property asset limit was \$500,000. The annual appropriations act of 2013, 2013 P.A. 59 Sec 686 (3), states "The department shall prohibit individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$250,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines." If a FIP group has more than \$250,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close. This policy is no longer in effect. The annual appropriations act of 2014 changed this asset limit, voiding this policy.
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) There is no longer a caseload impact which can be attached to this policy.

OMB Control No.: 0970-0338

Expiration Date: 08/31/2020

1. Name of eligibility change:

Asset limit of \$200,000 for property assets.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2014

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, property asset limit was \$250,000.
 - The annual appropriations act of 2014, 2014 P.A. 252 Sec 686 (3), states "The department shall prohibit individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$200,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines."
 - If a FIP group has more than \$200,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, \$200,000 Property Asset Limit. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - O The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 1

1. Name of eligibility change:

A person convicted two or more times for a drug related felony is disqualified from FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, the department only disqualified an individual receiving FIP if that
 person was in violation of the terms of their probation/parole and the conduct for which the person was
 convicted occurred after August 22, 1996. If the person was not in violation of the terms of probation
 or parole, the FIP benefits were paid in the form of restricted payments.
 - The annual appropriations act of 2011, 2011 P.A. 63 Sec 619(2), changed this policy to disqualify an individual if the individual was convicted in two or more separate cases of a felony that included the possession, use, or distribution of a controlled substance after August 22, 1996.
 - If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the individual who was disqualified due to a second drug related felony, then the FIP case closed. The cases that may close would be due to the disqualification of the only person in the group receiving FIP. Cases that had other individuals eligible to receive FIP remained open, but the needs of the disqualified individual were removed.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, Persons Convicted of Two or More Times for a Drug Related
 Felony. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year
 has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - O The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 1

1. Name of eligibility change:

The 60 month federal time limit hardship exemption was eliminated from the TANF State Plan which had the effect of closing FIP cases that included individuals that received over 60 months of federally funded FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, Michigan utilized the option of extending assistance beyond 60 months to individuals, up to 20 percent of the average monthly TANF case load in the TANF State Plan.
 - Effective 10/1/2011, Michigan changed the TANF State Plan, no longer utilizing the option for extending assistance beyond 60 months for individuals. Once an individual in the FIP group reaches 60 federally funded months, the group is ineligible for FIP assistance.
 - Effective 1/9/2013 State law, MCL 400.57a(4) was signed with immediate effect stating the department shall not provide FIP to any program group that includes an adult who has received assistance under any state program funded with TANF for more than 60 months.
 - Exception: MCL 400.57a(4) does not apply to a program group that includes an adult who was exempt from the JET program on 1/9/2013 under certain conditions. These cases are solely state-funded. They are not a separate state program. However, they are included with the caseload count under solely state-funded program.
 - A group is not eligible for TANF (FIP) if an individual in the group has received over 60 federally funded FIP months.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, Federal Time Limit Closures. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - O The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 775

1. Name of eligibility change:

The 60 month federal time limit hardship exemption was eliminated from the TANF State Plan which had the effect of *denying* FIP *applications* that included individuals that received over 60 months of federally funded FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, Michigan utilized the option of extending assistance beyond 60 months to individuals, up to 20 percent of the average monthly TANF case load in the TANF State Plan.
 - Effective 10/1/2011, Michigan changed the TANF State Plan, no longer utilizing the option for extending assistance beyond 60 months for individuals. Once an individual in the FIP group reaches 60 federally funded months, the group is ineligible for FIP assistance.
 - Effective 1/9/2013 State law, MCL 400.57a(4) was signed with immediate effect stating the department shall not provide FIP to any program group that includes an adult who has received assistance under any state program funded with TANF for more than 60 months.
 - o Exception: MCL 400.57a(4) does not apply to a program group that includes an adult who was exempt from the JET program on 1/9/2013 under certain conditions. These cases are solely state-funded. They are not a separate state program. However, they are included with the caseload count under solely state-funded program.
 - A group is not eligible for TANF (FIP) if an individual in the group has received over 60 federally funded FIP months. If a family applies for FIP with an individual in the FIP group that has received over 60 federally funded FIP months, the application is denied.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, Federal Time Limit Application Denials. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - o The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 1651

OMB Control No.: 0970-0338 Expiration Date: 08/31/2020 Page 10 of 16

1. Name of eligibility change:

An individual in a group cannot receive more than 48 months of FIP in a lifetime.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2007

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to this policy change in 2007, Michigan did not have a more restrictive time limit than the time limit of 60 months in Federal law.
 - From the policy start date of 10/1/2007, 10/1/2011 is the first month a client could reach 48 months and have their FIP closed due to the state time limit.

- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, State Time Limit Closures. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - O The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - O The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 557

1. Name of eligibility change:

An individual in the FIP group cannot receive more than 48 months of FIP in a lifetime which had the effect of *denying* FIP *applications* that included individuals that received over 48 months of FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to this policy change in 2007, Michigan did not have a more restrictive time limit than the time limit of 60 months in Federal law.
 - From the policy start date of 1/1/2007, 10/1/2011 is the first month a client could have reached 48 months. If the family applies for FIP after 10/1/2011 with an individual in the FIP group that has received over 48 months of FIP, the *application* is *denied*.

- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, State Time Limit Denials. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 504

1. Name of eligibility change:

If a dependent child in the FIP group age 6-15 is not attending school full-time, the entire FIP group is not eligible to receive FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2012

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to this policy change, the FIP case would remain open/approved if a family included a child 6-15 years old that was not attending school full-time.
 - Policy item BEM 245, School Attendance and Student Status, was updated stating if a dependent child age 6-15 is not attending school full-time, the entire FIP group is not eligible to receive FIP.
 - If it is verified that a dependent child 6-15 years old in the FIP group is not attending school full-time, the FIP case will close for the entire group.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, 6-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Full-Time. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - O The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 121

1.	Name of eligibility change:
	A solely state-funded group of cases was created.
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change:
	10/1/2006
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
	 Effective 10/1/2006, a solely state-funded group of cases was created. This group is comprised of two-parent families and cases in which the adult is incapacitated greater than 90 days.
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) • The solely state-funded program cases are tracked monthly via Michigan's Green Book monthly and annual reports. Used for this report was the Fiscal Year 2015 Annual Report: Table 11, to capture the actual number of solely state-funded FIP cases. The actual monthly numbers were inputted into the attached Solely State-Funded Cases impact table. The impact table took the actual monthly numbers entered and calculated the monthly average for the fiscal year.
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 3740

Date of Completion 12/27/2018

State: Michigan Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2019

PART 2 - Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

(Complete Part 2 using Excel Workbook provided.)

A	В	С	D	E	F	G
Michigan			Fiscal Year to which credit applies:		2019	
			Date of Completion:	02/27/2018	·	
PART 2 – Estim	ate of Ca	seload Reduction Cred	dit			
Impact of All Changes			Caseload Reduction Calculation			
19 year olds Removed as a Dependent child	22		FY 2005 TANF Caseload	80,595		
16/17 year olds Disqualified for not Attending School	9		FY 2005 SSP Caseload	0		
Less Than \$10 Deficit	162		Total FY 2005 Caseload	80,595	: :.	
\$200,000 Property Asset Limit	1		FY 2018 TANF Caseload	12,867		
Persons Convicted of Two or More Times for a Drug Related Felony	1		FY 2018 SSP Caseload	0	: •	
Federal Time Limit Closures	775		Total FY 2018 Caseload	12,867		
Federal Time Limit Application Denials	1,651		Excess MOE Cases in FY 2018	686		
State Time Limit Closures	557		Adjusted FY 2018 Caseload	12,181] }	
State Time Limit Denials	504		Caseload Decline	68,414	84.9%	
6-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Full-Time	121		Decline – Net Impact	75,957		
Solely State-Funded Cases	3,740					
			Caseload Reducti	on Credit =	84.9%	
					:	
					!	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		•) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				•		
Net Impact	7,543		- pro			
THE MARKET TO A SECOND	.,			**	:	
		! :			:	
		Completed			in en en en en	

Date of	f Completion	12/27/2018	
State:	Michigan		Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2019

PART 3 -- Certification

I certify that we have provided the public an appropriate opportunity to comment on the estimates and methodology used to complete this report and considered those comments in completing it. Further, I certify that this report incorporates all reductions in the caseload resulting from State eligibility changes and changes in Federal requirements since Fiscal Year 2005.

	an production to a state of the	
	M An	
معمغت مددد	Allend M. Mull	
Company	(signature)	
	,	
	Terrence Beurer	
	(name)	
	Director of Field Operations Administration	
	(title)	

Policy Name

19 year Olds Removed as a Dependent Child

Date of Completion: 02/07/2019

			lm	pact	on Ea	ch M	onth	in F	Y 20	18		
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr I	May	Jun	Jul	Aug Sep	
Time of Closure												
Prior years carryover	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	14 13	
Oct	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1 1	
Nov		2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1 1	
Dec			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	
Jan				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	
Feb					2	2	2	2	2	2	1 1	
Mar						0	0	0	0	0	0 0	
Apr							0	0	0	0	0 0	
May								0	0	0	0 0	
Jun									1	1	1 1	
Jul										0	0 0	
Aug											0 0	
Sep											////// 2	Gran
											<u> </u>	Tot
Total	24	25	24	23	24	23	22	20	20	19_	18 19	26
							_	V 20	10 ~	onth	ly avorage	2
							Г	1 20	10 11	OHUI	ly average	

Policy Name

16/17 year olds Disqualified for not Attending School

Date of Completion: 02/07/2019

		Impact on Each Month in FY 2018										
	Oct	Nov	Dec		Feb					Jul	Aug	Sep
Time of Closure												
Prior years carryover	9	9	8	8	7	7.	7	6	6	6	5	5
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nov		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Dec			1	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Jan				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-eb					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>M</i> ar						0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Apr							0	0	0	0	0	0
/ay								1	1	1.	1	1
lun									0	0	0	0
lul										0	0	0
∖ug											0	0
Sep												0
Гotal	9	10	10	10	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8
Total	9	10	10	10	9	9	9				8 othly av	

Michigan Policy Name

Less Than \$10 Deficit

02/07/2019 Date of Completion:

			Impa	ct on	Each I	Vlonth	ı in FY	2018	3			
	Oct No	ov Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure												
Prior years carryover	167 15	9 151	143	136	129	123	117	111	105	100	95	
Oct	1	1 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Nov		2 2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	
Dec		/// 7	7	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	4	
Jan			7	7	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	
Feb				5	5	5	4	4	4	4	3	
Mar					8	8	7	7	7	eratati, di	6	
Apr						8	8	7.	7	7	6	
May						//////.	13	12	12	11	11	
Jun								9	9	8	8	
Jul									11	10	10	
Aug										5	5	
Sep											5	Gran
											ļ	Tota
Total	168 16	32 161	160	157	157	159	162	162	167	163	160	1,93
							5 17	2040		بحمداما	a v a a c	16
							FY.	2018	mont	hly av	erage	16

Policy Name

\$200,000 Property Asset Limit

Date of Completion: 02/07/2019

		Impact on Each Month in FY 2018											
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure								****					
Prior years carryove	- 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			1	
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dec			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jan				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Feb					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mar						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Apr							0	0	0	0	0	0	
May								0	0	0	0	0	
Jun									0	0	0	0	
Jul										0	0		
Aug											0	0	
Sep												0	
Total	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	

Policy Name

Persons Convicted of Two or More Times for a Drug-Related Felony

Date of Completion: 02/07/2019

		Impact on Each Month in FY 2018											
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Prior years carryover	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dec			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jan				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Feb					1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Mar						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Apr							0	0	0	0	0	0	
May								0	0	0	0	0	
Jun									0	0	0	0	
Jul										0	0	0	
Aug	<i>\\\\\\</i>									////.	0	0	
Sep												0	Grand
													Total
Total	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
FY 2018 monthly average												1	

Policy Name

Federal Time Limit Closures
Date of Completion: 02/07/2019

		Impact on Each Month in FY 2018												
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep		
Time of Closure														
Prior years carryover	934	887	843	801	761	723	687	652	620	589	559	531		
Oct	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3		
Nov		9	9	8	8	7	7	7	6	6	6	5		
Dec			14	13	13	12	11	11	10	10	9	9		
Jan				13	12	12	11	11	10	10	9	9		
Feb					11	10	10	9	9	9	8	8		
Mar						14	13	13	12	11	11	10		
Apr							9	9	8	8	7	7		
May								10	10	9	9	8		
Jun									12	11	11	10		
Jul										15	14	14		
Aug											16	15		
Sep												14	Grand	
												_	Tota	
Total	939	901	871	839	809	782	752	725	700	681	662	643	9,304	
										- >				
										FY 2018	3 monthly a	verage	77	

Policy Name

Federal Time Limit Application Denials
Date of Completion: 02/07/2019

					mpact on	Each I	Month i	n FY 20		01 00111	10.00.0	02/01/	
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Prior years carryover	1,714	1,628	1,547	1,470	1,396	1,326	1,260	1,197	1,137	1,080	1,026	975	
Oct	36	34	32	31	29	28	26	25	24	23	22	21	
Nov		98	93	88	84	80	76	72	68	65	62	59	
Dec			91	86	82	78	74	70	67	64	60	57	
Jan				43	41	39	37	35	33	32	30	29	
Feb					75	71	68	64	61	58	55	52	
Mar						35	33	32	30	29	27	26	
Apr							53	50	48	45	43	41	
May								60	57	54	51	49	
Jun									52	49	47	45	
Jul										71	67	64	
Aug											72	68	
Sep												31	Grand
													Tota
Total	1,750	1,760	1,763	1,718	1,707	1,657	1,627	1,605	1,577	1,570	1,562	1,517	19,813
		,							F	Y 2018	monthly	average	1,651

Total

Policy Name

567 554 555 569

570 574

State Time Limit Closures

Date of Completion:

02/07/2019

Total 6,687

557

532

				Impad	ct on Each	Month in	FY 2018			
	Oct Nov	/ Dec Ja	n Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
Time of Closure										
Prior years carryover	564 536	5 509 48	4 459	436	415	394	374	355	338	321
Oct	3 3	3	3 2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Nov	////// 15	5 14 1	4 13	12	12	11	10	10	9	9
Dec		/ 29 2	8 26	25	24	22	21	20	19	18
Jan		/////// 4	0 38	36	34	33	31	29	28	27
Feb			<i>//,</i> 32	31	29	27	26	25	24	22
Mar				32	31	29	27	26	25	24
Apr					29	28	26	25	24	22
May	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>					15	14	14	13	12
Jun							15	14	14	13
Jul								22	21	20
Aug									24	23
Sep										19

576

561

FY 2018 monthly average

541

Completed

542

546

Policy Name

State Time Limit Denials

Date of Completion: 02/07/2019

										2010 01 0011	.1		
					l.	mpac	t on E	ach Month	in FY 201	8			
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Prior years carryover	404	384	365	346	329	313	297	282	268	255	242	230	
Oct	18	17	16	15	15	14	13	13	12	11	11	10	
Nov		41	39	37	35	33	32	30	29	27	26	25	
Dec			50	48	45	43	41	39	37	35	33	32	
Jan				34	32	31	29	28	26	25	24	23	
Feb					51	48	46	44	42	39	37	36	
Mar						29	28	26	25	24	22	21	
Apr							26	25	23	22	21	20	
May								32	30	29	27	26	
Jun									40	38	36	34	
Jul										37	35	33	
Aug											41	39	
Sep												25	Grand
												_	Total
Total	422	442	470	480	507	511	512	519	532	542	555	554	6,046
					,								
										FY 2018	3 monthly a	average	504

Policy Name

6-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Full-Time
Date of Completion: 02/07/2019

						lmp	act on Ead	ch Month i		Jale of Con		02/01/2	
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Prior years carryover	129	123	116	111	105	100	95	90	86	81	77	73	
Oct	6	6	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	3	
Nov		4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	
Dec			5	5	5	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	
Jan				4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Feb					1	1	1		1	1		1	
Mar						6	6	5	5	5	5	4	
Apr							5	5	5	4	4	4	
May								6	1	1		1	
Jun									1			<u> 1</u>	
Jul										8	8	7	
Aug											0	0	
Sep												2	Grand
								40.	4.40	444	440	40.	Total
Total	135	133	130	129	123	123	121	121	113	114	110	104	1,456
										FY 2018	3 monthly a	average	121

Policy Name

Solely State-Funded Cases

Date of Completion: 02/07/2019

				Ir	npact	on Eacl	n Monti	n in FY	2018				•
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure											M494		
Prior years carryover	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Oct	4,086	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov		4,046	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dec			3,980	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jan				3,960	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Feb					3,880	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mar						3,805	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Apr							3,683	0	0	0	0	0	
May								3,563	0	0	0	0	
Jun									3,513	0	0	0	
Jul										3,478	0	0	
Aug											3,450	0	
Sep												3,430	Gra
												L	To
Total	4,086	4,046	3,980	3,960	3,880	3,805	3,683	3,563	3,513	3,478	3,450	3,430	44,8
										EV 004	O a mattalla la car		o -
										FY 201	8 monthly a	verage	3,7

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT Excess MOE Worksheet

	Α	В	С	D E	F
1	Michigan			Fiscal Year to which credit applies:	2019
2				Date of Completion:	02/07/2019
3		Excess	ИОЕ	Calculation Worksheet	
4					
5	Caseload Data		-	Expenditure Data	
6	FY 2005 TANF Caseload	80,595		Total Expenditures	
7	FY 2005 SSP Caseload	0		FY 2018 Total Federal Expenditures	\$747,909,807
8	Total FY 2005 Caseload	80,595		FY 2018 Total MOE Expenditures	\$570,066,788
9	FY 2018 TANF Caseload	12,867		Total Expenditures (Federal + MOE)	#######################################
10	FY 2018 SSP Caseload	0			and the state of t
11	Total FY 2018 Caseload	12,867		Assistance Expenditures	<u> </u>
12				FY 2018 Federal Expenditures on Assistance	\$137,198,148
13	2-Parent Caseload Data			FY 2018 MOE Expenditures on Assistance	\$31,528,117
14	FY 2005 2-p TANF Caseload	0		Total Expenditures on Assistance (Federal + MOE)	\$168,726,265
15	FY 2005 2-p SSP Caseload	0		Percentage of Expenditures on Assistance	12.80%
16	Total FY 2005 Caseload	0			
17	FY 2018 2-p TANF Caseload	0		Expenditures Per Case	
18	FY 2018 2-p SSP Caseload	0		Average Expenditures per Case	\$102,431
19	Total FY 2018 Caseload	0		Average Expenditures per Case on Assistance	\$13,113
20					
21				MOE and Excess MOE	
22				Required MOE (80% or 75%)	\$499,752,934
23			,,	Excess MOE Expenditures	\$70,313,854
24				Excess MOE Expenditures on Assistance	\$9,001,521
25	Adjusted Caseload Data				
26	Adjusted FY 2018 Overall Caseload	12,181		Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE	686
27	Adjusted FY 2018 2-parent Caseload	0		2-Parent Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MO	E 0
28					
29					
30					
31		,			, ,,,,,,,
32					

OMB Approval No.: 0970-0338 Expiration Date: 07/31/2014

FORM ACF-202 - TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT Overall Credit

	A	В	С	D	E	F
1	Michigan			Fiscal Year to which credit applies:		2019
2				Date of Completion:	02/07/2019	
3	PART 2 – Est	imate of Cas	eload Reduction Credi			
4			/*			
5	Impact of All Changes	1L		Caseload Reduction Calculation		
6	19 year olds Removed as a Dependent child	22_		FY 2005 TANF Caseload	80,595	
7	16/17 year olds Disqualified for not Attending School	9_		FY 2005 SSP Caseload	00.505	—— <u>i</u>
8	Less Than \$10 Deficit	162_		Total FY 2005 Caseload	80,595	
	\$200,000 Property Asset Limit	1_		FY 2018 TANF Caseload	12,867	
10	Persons Convicted of Two or More Times for a Drug Related Felony	000 100 1		FY 2018 SSP Caseload	0	
11	Federal Time Limit Closures	775_		Total FY 2018 Caseload	12,867	
12	Federal Time Limit Application Denials	1,651		Excess MOE Cases in FY 2018	686	
13	State Time Limit Closures	557_		Adjusted FY 2018 Caseload	12,181	
14	State Time Limit Denials	504_		Caseload Decline	68,414	84.9%
15	6-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Full-Time	121_		Decline – Net Impact	75,957	
	Solely State-Funded Cases	3,740	9-			
17	l 가 된다. # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #			Caseload Reduct	ion Credit =	84.9%
18	그는 맛있다고요. 이 등 사람들이 그는 어때 밤을 하는 얼마 되어 나라를 받는					
19						
20	[- HLT = 프로그램 프로마트 프로마트 프로마트 프로드 프로마트 - HLT = 프로	9.00 아님 네트				
21	[- 도마. 경인 아이들을 제고도를 잘되는데 말로 모르다고 되었다. ㅋ. ㅋ.					
22	[기가무리 불편 발범들도 한 경험을 보고 보다 보다 보다 하고 보다 나다					
23	1 - 항송도시 레스크로 그렇게 받는 걸 하나를 가장 하셨습니까 말놀랐다.		/			
24	1 트리트로 로마 마닷컴을 보더니도 말할 등 시작되고 한 모델였다.		,			
25						
26	Net Impact	7,543				
27						
28						